## Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

## **Environmental Notification Form**

Project Name: Town of Dighton Water Treatment Plant

For Office Use Only	
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs	
EOEA No.: 1 2 90 0	
EOEA No.: 12900 MEPA Analys Diendre Buckle	4
Phone: 617-626-	/
1044	

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Street: 100 Williams Street						
Municipality: Dighton	Watershed: Taunton River					
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 71°10' 12" W				
319885.643 E, 4637734.856 N		Longitude: 41° 52' 23" N				
Estimated commencement date: June 2003		Estimated completion date: March 2005				
Approximate cost: \$ 7 Million		Status of project design: 20 %complete				
Proponent: Town of Dighton Water I	District					
Street: 527 Somerset Avenue						
Municipality: Dighton		State: MA	: 02764			
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtaine	ed:		
Abigail Thomas						
Firm/Agency: Earth Tech	10.00	Street: 196 Baker Ave				
Municipality: Concord		State: MA	Zip Code	: 01742		
Phone: 978-371-4000	Fax: 978	3-371-2468	E-mail: abiga	ail_thomas@earthtech.co		
Does this project meet or exceed a man Has this project been filed with MEPA b Has any project on this site been filed w	efore?	Yes Yes (EOEA No	)	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No		
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.0 a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))  a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CM a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.09)	esting:  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No		
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): Possible SRF Loan						
Are you requesting coordinated review ☐ Yes(Specify		ther federal, state, ) ⊠ No	regional, or	local agency?		

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: <u>Notice of Intent, Planning Board Approval, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit (Operating), NPDES Permit Construction, and NPDES Permit (Storm Water), Department of the Army Permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), Water Quality Certificate under Section 401 of the CWA.</u>

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):							
☐ Land	☐ Rare Species		⊠ Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands				
⊠ Water	☐ Wastewater			] Transportation			
☐ Energy	☐ Air			Solid & Hazardous Waste			
☐ ACEC	☐ Regulations [			Historical & Archaeological Resource			
Summary of Project Size & Environmental Impacts	Existing	Chang	е	Total	State Permits & Approvals		
	LAND				☑ Order of Conditions		
Total site acreage	83.89				☐ Superceding Order of		
New acres of land altered		5.7			Conditions		
Acres of impervious area	0.12	1.31		1.43	☐ Chapter 91 License		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		5,818			✓ 401 Water Quality Certification		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		38,526 (riverfront area)			<ul><li>☐ MHD or MDC Access</li><li>Permit</li><li>☐ Water Management</li></ul>		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0	121		Act Permit  New Source Approval  DEP or MWRA		
STRU	JCTURES				Sewer Connection/		
Gross square footage	0	12,392		12,392	Extension Permit		
Number of housing units	0	0		0	Other Permits		
Maximum height (in feet)	0	32		32	(including Legislative Approvals) - Specify:		
TRANS	PORTATION			-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Vehicle trips per day	0	5		5			
Parking spaces	0	Less than 2	0	Less than 20			
WATER/W	VASTEWATE	R					
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	250		250			
GPD water withdrawal	489,000	0		489,000			
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	250		250			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	8.33 - Wate	r	8.33 - Water			

<b>CONSERVATION LAND:</b> Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Artic 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?	le
☐ Yes (Specify) ☒ No	
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?	
☐ Yes (Specify) ☒ No	
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?	
☐ Yes (Specify) ☒ No	
A letter was sent to the Massachusetts Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program (NHESP) on October 11, 2002 in order to confirm that this project will have no negative impact on rare species. On October 24, 2002, Earth Tech received a response letter from NHESP. The letter stated that the project site is near, but does not include any Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities. Both letters are included in Attachment 6.	
<b>HISTORICAL/ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES</b> : Does the project site include any structure, s or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?	it∈
☐ Yes (Specify) ☒ No	
A letter was sent to the Massachusetts Historical Commission (MHC) on October 11, 2002 in order to confirm that this project will have no negative impact on rare species. The letter is attached in Attachment 7. On October 24, 2002, Earth Tech received a response letter from MHC. The letter stated that the project site is unlikely to affect significant historic or archaeological resources. There will be no impacts to any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth. Both letters are included in Attachment 7.	
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?	
☐ Yes (Specify) ☒ No	
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?	
☐ Yes (Specify) ☒ No	
<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</b> The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with eac alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)	I
The project includes the of a new 1.5 MGD Water Treatment Facility (no existing water	

The project includes the of a new 1.5 MGD Water Treatment Facility (no existing water treatment facility) located off of Walker Street in Dighton, MA and the installation of approximately 8.33 miles of water main from the existing Walker Street and Cedar Street Wells to the treatment facility and distribution system. The project will not involve expansion or new water supply withdrawals. The water treatment facility will treat the

five existing wells of the Dighton Water District's (DWD). The current treatment of chemical addition for pH and alkalinity adjustment and disinfection in the existing wells is not adequately treating the water to meet drinking water standards.

The District is currently under an Administrative Consent Order from the DEP to construct the treatment plant. The project's existing conditions site plan is included in Attachment 1. A preliminary site plan is included in Attachment 2. The project's final design and specifications will be completed and filed with the DEP in January 2003. The project exceeds the MEPA ENF thresholds for the construction of a new drinking water treatment plant with a capacity of 1,000,000 or more mgd, construction of one or more new water main five or more miles in length, and alteration of 5,000 square feet of bordering vegetated wetland

The DWD's finish water quality frequently exceeds current drinking water standards. In October 2001, the presence of fecal coliform was detected in water samples. As a result, a Boil Order, which lasted from October 12 to 24, 2001, was issued. In 2000 and 2001, the levels of lead and copper exceeded the action levels of 0.015 and 1.3 mg/L respectively. During this time period, levels of iron and manganese exceeded the secondary drinking water standards of 0.30 and 0.05 mg/L respectively. In addition, the high demand of chlorine required for disinfection results in levels of total trihalomethanes (TTHMs) that exceed the standard of 0.08 mg/L. Microscopic Particulate Analyses (MPA) indicate that the Walker Street Well No. 1 has failed to maintain a low risk rating during two consecutive seasons.